


The Scottish Government's refreshed Cycling Action Plan for Scotland 2013 [CAPS 2013] established Action 18 which is to: Report annually on an appropriate suite of national indicators to inform the national picture of cycling participation.

There is a huge range of data and information avaiable that will contribute to greater understanding of cycling participation. This report contains a collection of key cycling statistics and trends that have been identified in CAPS 2013 and can be used to monitor progress and opportunities encouraging more cycling across Scotland.

The report looks at trends and statistics from both a national and local poin of view. Statistics and data are included that give insight into levels of cycling. particularly to work or study. demographic information. general trave trends. road safety and delivery of projects directly linked to CAPS 2013.
Estimated levels of cycling
Cycling as a main mode of travel in Scotland was estimated at $1 \%$ in 2013 while the volume of cycling traffic was 329 million vehicle kilometres travelled. a $32 \%$ increase since 2003. [Figure 2.1] Looking more locally, cycling as a main mode of travel is highest in Clackmannanshire [3.5\%]. Edinburgh [2.5\%] and Stirling [2.2\%]. [Figure 3.1]
In addition at a national level. $11 \%$ of adults participated in cycling in the previous month - with the age group of 35-44 year olds the highest. with $19 \%$ participating in cycling in the previous month.
The proportion of households in Scotland that have access to one or more bicucles for private use is $34.7 \%$, ranging from $51.2 \%$ in Moray to $23.1 \%$ in Glasgow (Figure 39) The proportion of houshlds in Seotlond tha have no access to a car for private use is $306 \%$ ranging from $42.9 \%$ in Dundee to $15.2 \%$ in East Renfrewshire. [Figure 3.10]
$62.2 \%$ of journeus in Scotland are under 5km. (Figure 2.10) ranging from $43.6 \%$ in Aberdeenshire to $79 \%$ in Dundee. [Figure 3.8]

Cycling to Work
The proportion of those cycling to work at least 'regularly' is $5.6 \%$ for Scotland as a whole. [Figure 3.2]. When looking at this more locally. the proportion of those cyding to work 'regularly' is over 5\% in II of 32 local outhorities, with the five highest in Edinburgh [12.2\%]. Moray [10.3\%]. Argull \& Bute [9.1\%]. Stirling [8.7\%] and Clackmannanshire [8.4\%). (Figure 3.2]

The Census 2011 provides an even closer look at local cycling to work trends. with cycling to work highest in wards in Edinburgh [Meadows/ Morningside 9.9\%. Southside/Newington 9.3\%, Fountainbridge/ Craiglockhart 6.9\%] and Inverness [Ness-side 6.2\% and Central 5.9\%] [Figure 4.8]

The Census also highlights that $32 \%$ of journeys to work in Scotland are ess than 5 km . ranging from $61 \%$ in Dundee to $22 \%$ in East Renfrewshire. [Figures 4.2 \& 4.6]
Cycling to Schoo
In 2013. 5\% of children indicated that they normally cycle to primary school. while $0.9 \%$ cycle to secondary school. (Figure 2.4] Locally. cycling to primary school is highest in Highland [10.7\%]. East Lothian [9.5\%] and Stiring [9.2\%] [Figure 3.3]

The 201l Census highlights that 63\% of journeys to school or study are less than 5 km . ranging from $91 \%$ in Dundee to $54 \%$ in Eilean Siar
FFigures $45 \& 47$ ] FFigures 4.5 \& 4.7]

## Training

In 2013. $37.2 \%$ of schools delivered on-road Bikeability Scotland Level 2 training and since 2010. there have been 2.917 people trained as Cycle Training Assistants and 1.033 trained as Cycle Trainers. [Figure 3.4]

Road Safety
The number of serious injuries has declined from 311 in 1994 to 148 in 013 amongst adults and from 140 to 11 amongst children. Over the same ime period. the number of fatalities has fluctuated between 5 and 13 amongst adults and 0 and 5 amongst children. [Figure 2.7]

The rate of pedal cycle casualties per million vehicle kilometres travelled Scotland has generally fallen over the last decade with the rate 2.68 casualties per million vehicle kilometres travelled in 2013 2009-2013 average of 2751 Similaty million vehicle kilometres has also fallen with the rate at 0.49 KSI per million vehicle kilometres travelled in 2013 [compared to the 2009-2013 averoge of 0.53]. [Figure 2.7]

Reasons for not cycling
The top five stated reasons why people did not cycle to work are: too far weather. do not have a bike, too many cors on road. traffic travels too fast. [Figure 2.11$]$


Headline Trends


## Travel to work and School


2.4 TRAVEL TO SCHOOL - HANDS UP SCOTLAND SURVEY

Source: Hanus up scotland survey 2013 (table 2.3) - Sustrans scotlan
This figure shows the percentage of children who answered "bicycle" when osked the question "How do you normally travel to School?"

2.5 TRAVEL TO SCHOOL - SCOTTISH TRANSPORT STATISTICS

This figure shows the Notional trovel to school rote from the 2014 Scottish Tronsport Statistics release. This dota is token from the Scottish Household Survey.


TRAVEL TO PRIMARY SCHOOL [P5 - P7]
SOURCE: HANDS UP SCOTLAND SURVEY (TABLE 2.4]-SUSTRANs scotland
This figure shows the percentage of children who answered "bicycle" when asked the question "How do you normally travel to School?"
os part of the Hands Un Scotland Surveu. P5-P7 is highighted os it is considered the oge where children begin making independent jourr



## Road Safety

[^0]
ksis rates overall casualty rates

2.8 BICYCLES INVOLVED IN REPORTED INJURY ACCIDENTS
ore: reporteo road casualties scotland 2013 (table (4) - Transport scotland
This chart indicates the vehicle manoeuvre and junction type involved for all reported injury accidents involving a pedal cycle.

| REPORTED INJURY CRASHES BY MANOEUVRE 2009-2013 AVERAGE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reversing | 2 | 0.3\% |
| Parked | 2 | 0.3\% |
| Slowing or stopping | 16 | 2.1\% |
| Moving off | 23 | 3.0\% |
| U turn | - | 0.0\% |
| Turning/waiting turn left | 19 | 2.5\% |
| Turning/waiting turn right | 47 | 6.2\% |
| Changing lone | 9 | 1.2\% |
| Overtaking | 37 | 4.8\% |
| Going round bend | 26 | 3.4\% |
| Waiting/going ohead | 582 | 76.2\% |
| TOTAL | 764 |  |


|  | REPORTED INJURY CRASHES <br> BY JUNCTION TYPE <br> 2009-2013 AVERAGE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 201 |


|  | 66.3\% | 69 | Over 20m from junction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 11.5\% | 12 | Roundabout |
|  | 0.0\% | - | Mini roundabout |
| NON | 11.5\% | 12 | T/Y or staggered junction |
|  | 1.0\% | 1 | Slip road |
| BUILI-UP | 1.9\% | 2 | Crossroads |
| 40 MPH | 1.0\% | 1 | Multiple junction |
| AND HIGHER | 2.9\% | 3 | Private drive |
|  | 2.9\% | 3 | Other junction |
|  |  | 104 | TOTAL |


| Reversing | - | $0.0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parked | 1 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Slowing or stopping | 1 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Moving off | 2 | $1.9 \%$ |
| U turn | - | $0.0 \%$ |
| Turning/waiting turn left | 1 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Turning/waiting turn right | 6 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Changing lane | 1 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Overtaking | 1 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Going round bend | 14 | $13.5 \%$ |
| Waiting/going ahead | 76 | $73.1 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 104 |  |


| Reversing | 2 | $0.2 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parked | 3 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Slowing or stopping | 17 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Moving off | 25 | $2.9 \%$ |
| U turn | - | $0.0 \%$ |
| Turning/waiting turn left | 20 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Turning/waiting turn right | 53 | $6.1 \%$ |
| Changing lane | 10 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Overtaking | 38 | $4.4 \%$ |
| Going round bend | 40 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Waiting/going ahead | 659 | $75.9 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 868 |  |

TOTAL

| $31.1 \%$ | 270 | Over 20m from junction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $13.0 \%$ | 113 | Roundabout |
| $1.6 \%$ | 14 | Mini roundabout |
| $31.9 \%$ | 277 | T/Y or staggered junction |
| $\mathbf{0 . 9 \%}$ | 8 | Slip road |
| $9.7 \%$ | 84 | Crossroads |
| $2.3 \%$ | 20 | Multiple junction |
| $2.2 \%$ | 19 | Private drive |
| $7.3 \%$ | 63 | Other junction |
|  | 868 | TOTAL |

2.9 PROPORTION OF ALL VEHICLES INVOLVED IN ACCIDENTS INVOLVING A PEDAL CYCLE FOR EACH VEHICLE TYPE

These figures only focus on occidents that involved a pedal cycle. The figures below show the proportion of all aciidents involving a pedal cycle where each vehicle type was also involved. This is also broken down by severity of injuries in accidents that involved a pedal cycle. In oddition. for reference. the proportion

ALL SEVERITIES
THE PROPORTION OF ALL PEDAL CYCLE ACCIDENTS INVOLVING EACH SPECIFIC VEHICLE TYPE Accidents that resulted in an injury of any severity.]

| Motorcycle |
| :--- |
| Car or Taxi |
| Bus/Coach/Minibus |
| Light Goods Veh |
| Heavy Goods Veh |
| Other Vehicle |


| $2004 / 08$ AVERAGE | $2009 / 13$ AVERAGE | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| $85.8 \%$ | $85.3 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ |
| $3.6 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| $5.0 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| $2.5 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| $2.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |

## KSIs

He [Accidents that resulted in a KSI]

|  | 2004/08 AVERAGE | $2009 / 13$ AVERAGE | 2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Motorcycle | $1.3 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Car or Taxi | $81.4 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ |
| Bus/Coach/Minibus | $3.7 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Light Goods Veh | $5.7 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Heavy Goods Veh | $5.1 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Other Vehicle | $2.8 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |

PROPORTION OF OVERALL TRAFFIC VOLUME FOR EACH VEHICLE TYPE
the proportion of overall traffic volume attributed to each vehicle type

|  | 2004/08 AVERAGE | 2009/13 AVERAGE | 2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Motorcycle | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| Car or Taxi | $78.0 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | $77.7 \%$ |
| Bus/Coach/Minibus | $1.4 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Light Goods Veh | $13.1 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| Heavy Goods Veh | $6.2 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| Other Vehicle | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |

## Cycling in context



REASONS FOR NOT CYCLING TO WORK [\%AVERAGE FOR 2009-13]
source: scotish household survey transport Data 2013 (Table 26) - TRANsport scotland
This figure indicates the reported reasons why people in Scotland do not cycle to work.

| $\overbrace{36.2 \%}$ |  | 80 <br> 13.9\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| too far to cycle | WEATHER TOO COLD / WET / WINDY | do NOT HAVE A BIKE | TOO MANY CARS ON THE ROAD |
|  |  | $\underset{9.4 \%}{=}$ |  |
| TRAFFIC TRAVELS TOO FAST | PREFER TO DRIVE | CONCERNS FOR PERSONAL SAFETY ON DARK / LONELY ROADS | INCONSIDERATE DRIVERS |
| $\Omega$ | (d) |  | $\Delta \Delta$ |
| 8.9\% | 7.9\% | 7.6\% | 6.5\% |
| NO WAY TO CARRY LUGGAGE / SHOPPING | DON'T HAVE TIME TO CYCLE | NOWHERE AT WORK TO SHOWER / CHANGE | TOO HILLY |
| $Z_{6.4 \%} z$ | $\underset{5.9 \%}{\sim}$ |  | $\underbrace{8}_{2.8 \%}$ |
| CAN'T BE BOTHERED | NOT FIT ENOUGH | ROAD SURFACES ARE DANGEROUS | NOT ENOUGH SAFE PLACES TO LOCK BIKE |
| $80$ | $\mathfrak{m}$ |  |  |
| 2.1\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.4\% |
| CAN'T RIDE A BIKE | HEALTH REASONS | DIFFICULTY TAKING BIKE ONTO OTHER FORMS OF TRANSPORT* | WORRIED ABOUT POLLUTION FROM TRAFFIC |
| $\mathcal{N}^{2}$ |  | (8) |  |
| 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% |  |
| INCONSIDERATE PEDESTRIANS IN TOWNS | NOWHERE TO KEEP A BICYCLE AT HOME | too many bikes stolen |  |

IN TOWATE PEDESTRIANS
OWHERE TO KEEP A BICYCLE AT HOME
2.12 ADULT CYCLE PARTICIPATION IN THE LAST 4 WEEKS

SOURCE: SCOTLAND'S PEOPLE: ANNUAL REPORT 2013 (TABLE 13.12] - The SCOTtISH government
The figure below indicates the percentage of people who particicated in at least 30 minutes of cycling within the 4 weeks prior to the question being asked

2.14 LENGTH OF NATIONAL CYCLE NETWORK AND COMMUNITY LINKS PROJECTS

SOURCES: NCN - SUSTrans scotLand: Walking and cycling outcomes september 2014 (TAble 3-1)
community Links: sustrans scotland
This figure shows the chonge in the leng
projects from 2012 to 2016. *Tronche 1 .
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Headine Trends

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.8\% |
| 3.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% |
| 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% |
| 0.7\% | 1.\% | 0.8\% | 1.4\% |
| 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% |
| 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 0.9\% |
| 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% |
| 0.3\% | \% | 1.1\% | 1.1\%** |

REGIONAL TRANSPORT PARTNERSHIP AREA

| $1.8 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |  |

URBAN RURAL CLASSIFICATION

| $1.3 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1.4 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |

## Travel to work and School


3.3 CHILDREN CYCLING TO PRIMARY SCHOOL

SOURCE: HANDS UP SCOTLAND SURVEY 2013 (TABLE 3.3) - SUSTRANS SCOTLAND
Hands Up Scotland Surree Boge of children who onswered "bicycle" when asked the question "How do you normally travel to School?" as part of the

| LOCAL AUTHORITY | 2008-2010 Average | 2011-2013 Average | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aberdeen City | 2.1\% | 3.3\% | 3.3\% |
| Aberdeenshire | 3.9\% | 5.0\% | 5.6\% |
| Angus | 2.4\% | 3.3\% | 3.2\% |
| Argyl\| \& Bute | 2.8\% | 3.7\% | 4.5\% |
| Clackmannanshire | 2.4\% | 4.6\% | 4.2\% |
| Dumfries \& Galloway | 3.5\% | 5.1\% | 6.0\% |
| Dundee City | 0.7\% | 2.0\% | 3.1\% |
| East Ayrshire | 2.2\% | 4.0\% | 5.2\% |
| East Dunbartonshire | 3.5\% | 3.7\% | 2.9\% |
| East Lothian | 8.9\% | 9.5\% | 9.5\% |
| East Renfrewshire | 2.1\% | 3.3\% | 4.4\% |
| Edinburgh City | 5.4\% | 5.8\% | 6.3\% |
| Eilean Siar | 6.6\% | 4.7\% | 4.4\% |
| Falkirk | 3.0\% | 3.8\% | 3.9\% |
| Fife | 2.5\% | 3.7\% | 4.8\% |
| Glasgow City | 2.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.5\% |
| Highland | 9.9\% | 9.9\% | 10.7\% |
| Inverclyde | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 1.1\% |
| Midlothian | 5.0\% | 6.5\% | 7.2\% |
| Moray | 6.9\% | 6.8\% | 6.4\% |
| North Ayrshire | 3.6\% | 5.0\% | 7.3\% |
| North Lanarkshire | 2.2\% | 3.3\% | 4.2\% |
| Orkney Islands | 4.7\% | 4.1\% | 5.3\% |
| Perth \& Kinross | 3.8\% | 6.0\% | 6.1\% |
| Renfrewshire | 1.9\% | 2.7\% | 3.6\% |
| Scottish Borders | 2.9\% | 4.2\% | 5.4\% |
| Shetland Islands | 4.9\% | 4.6\% | 5.3\% |
| South Ayrshire | 4.7\% | 5.4\% | 7.6\% |
| South Lanarkshire | 1.8\% | 2.5\% | 3.3\% |
| Stirling | 5.3\% | 8.4\% | 9.2\% |
| West Dunbartonshire | 0.9\% | 1.8\% | 2.0\% |
| West Lothian | 4.4\% | 4.8\% | 4.8\% |


| BIKEABILITY SCOTLAND *Delivery rate of participating Local Authorities <br> SOURCE: DATA PROVIDED BY LOCAL AUTHORITIES <br> Bikeability Scotland is a cycle training scheme designed to give children the skills and confidence they need both to cycle safely on the roads. and to encourage them to carry on cycling into adulthood. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of primary schools delivering Level 2 training 2010-11 |  | Percentage of primary schools delivering Level 2 training 2011-12 | Percentage of primary schools delivering Level 2 training 2012-13 | Percentage of primary schools delivering Level 2 training 2013-14 |
| TOTALS | $31.5 \%$ | $37.7 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $37.20$ |
| LOCAL AUTHORITY | Percentage of primer L2 on-road t | schools delivering ning 2012-13 | Percentage of prim L2 on-road t | y schools delivering ining 2013-14 |
| Aberdeen City |  |  |  |  |
| Aberdeenshire |  |  |  |  |
| Angus |  |  |  |  |
| Argyll \& Bute | Does not | participate | Does not | articipate |
| Clackmannanshire |  |  |  |  |
| Dumfries \& Galloway |  |  |  |  |
| Dundee |  |  |  |  |
| East Ayrshire | Does not | articipate | Does not | prticipate |
| East Dunbartonshire |  |  |  |  |
| East Lothian |  |  |  |  |
| East Renfrewshire |  |  |  |  |
| Edinburgh City |  |  |  |  |
| Eilean Siar |  |  |  |  |
| Falkirk |  |  |  |  |
| Fife 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Glasgow |  |  |  |  |
| Highland 15 |  |  |  |  |
| Inverclyde 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Midlothian 63 |  |  |  |  |
| Moray 5 |  |  |  |  |
| North Ayrshire Does not |  | articipate | Does not | participate |
| North Lanarkshire |  |  |  |  |
| Orkney 65 |  |  |  |  |
| Perth \& Kinross 39 |  |  |  |  |
| Renfrewshire 22 |  |  |  |  |
| Scottish Borders 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Shetland 45 |  |  |  |  |
| South Ayrshire 7 |  |  |  |  |
| South Lanarkshire 16 |  |  |  |  |
| Stirling 5 |  |  |  |  |
| West Dunbartonshire 8 |  |  |  |  |
| West Lothian 15 |  |  |  |  |
|  | cle Training Assist trained since 2010 | ts $1,0$ | Cycle Train since 2010 | rs trained |

3.5 TRAVEL TO SCHOOL [P5-P7] *Figures for East Lothian and Renfrewshire show whole school data SOURCE: HANDS UP SCOTLAND SURVEY 2013 (TABLE 3.4) - SUSTRANS SCOTLAND
This figure shows the percentage of chidren who onswered bicycie" when asked the question "How do you normally travel to School?" as part of the
Hands Up Scotland Survey, Broken down by Local Authority. P5-P7 is highlighted as it is considered the age where chidren begin moking independent journeys.

| LOCAL AUTHORITY | 2008-10 Average | 2011-13 Average | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aberdeen City | 2.4\% | 3.7\% | 3.0\% |
| Aberdeenshire | 6.1\% | 6.1\% | 6.1\% |
| Angus | 4.0\% | 4.4\% | 3.0\% |
| Argyll \& Bute | 3.6\% | 5.7\% | 6.7\% |
| Clackmannanshire | 2.5\% | 4.9\% | 5.0\% |
| Dumfries \& Galloway | 4.9\% | 6.6\% | 6.8\% |
| Dundee City | 0.6\% | 2.2\% | 3.6\% |
| East Ayrshire | 3.1\% | 5.1\% | 6.3\% |
| East Dunbartonshire | 4.2\% | 4.0\% | 2.8\% |
| East Lothian* | 8.9\% | 9.5\% | 9.5\% |
| East Renfrewshire | 2.4\% | 3.3\% | 4.8\% |
| Edinburgh City | 6.0\% | 5.3\% | 5.6\% |
| Eilean Siar | 17.7\% | 9.6\% | 8.4\% |
| Falkirk | 3.9\% | 4.6\% | 4.9\% |
| Fife | 3.2\% | 4.2\% | 5.1\% |
| Glasgow City | 2.1\% | 2.7\% | 3.7\% |
| Highland | 12.4\% | 12.4\% | 14.0\% |
| Inverclyde | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.5\% |
| Midlothian | 5.3\% | 6.0\% | 5.6\% |
| Moray | 9.6\% | 10.2\% | 8.8\% |
| North Ayrshire | 4.8\% | 5.7\% | 7.5\% |
| North Lanarkshire | 2.5\% | 4.0\% | 4.9\% |
| Orkney Islands | 7.1\% | 6.6\% | 11.1\% |
| Perth \& Kinross | 5.0\% | 7.4\% | 6.0\% |
| Renfrewshire* | 1.9\% | 2.7\% | 3.6\% |
| Scottish Borders | 3.2\% | 4.8\% | 6.3\% |
| Shetland Islands | 4.2\% | 4.8\% | 5.1\% |
| South Ayrshire | 4.5\% | 6.8\% | 8.9\% |
| South Lanarkshire | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 3.3\% |
| Stirling | 5.3\% | 9.3\% | 9.3\% |
| West Dunbartonshire | 0.8\% | 2.2\% | 2.1\% |
| West Lothian | 4.5\% | 5.9\% | 5.5\% |

3.6 PERCEPTION OF SAFETY FOR CHILDREN TO WALK OR CYCLE TO PLAY AREAS ON THEIR OWN SOURCE: SCOTLAND'S PEOPLE: RESULTS FROM THE 2012 SCOTTISH HOUSEHOLD SURVEY (TABLE 7.4) - THE SCOTTISH GOVERNMENT This tabe shows the percentage of people who felt it was sofe for children to walk or cycle to different places in different types of settlements
3.8 DISTANCE TRAVELLED - PROPORTION OF JOURNEYS UNDER 5KM SOURCE: SCOTTISH HOUSEHOLD SURVEY: LOCAL AREA ANALYSIS 2012/2013 (TABLE 19) - TRANSPORT SCOtLAND
Toking into consideration that the averoge cycling journey is 4.4 km in length (TATIS 2013) five km represents a key distance for focus on potentiol modal shift
 tigure in Fig 3.8 differs from the all figure in Fig 2.10 as
that $50 \%$ of all cor journeys ore under 5 km [TATIS 2013]

| $73.1 \%$ | $43.6 \%$ | $54.9 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $59.9 \%$ | $64.4 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ |
| $56.6 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |
| $67.1 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ | $74.9 \%$ |
| $65.7 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ | $49.5 \%$ | $62.9 \%$ |
| $55.6 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | $53.7 \%$ | $59.4 \%$ |
| $59.1 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ |
| $60.6 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $64.1 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ |

regional transport partnership area

| $65.4 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ | $62.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $64.4 \%$ | $63.5 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ |  |

URBAN RURAL CLASSIFICATION

| $71.2 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $74.9 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ |



SOURCE: SCOTTISH HOUSEHOLD SURVEY: LOCAL AREA ANALYSIS 2012/2013 [TABLE 8] - TRANSPORT SCOTLAND The table below indicates the percentoge of households that hove occess to one or more bikes for private use.


| $31.3 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | $40.7 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $41.2 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ |
| $42.8 \%$ | $47.9 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ |
| $42.4 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ |
| $45.2 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ | $51.2 \%$ |
| $34.1 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $4.4 .2 \%$ |
| $28.6 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ |

29.1\% $\quad 47.6 \% \quad 33.1 \% \quad 35.9 \% \quad 34.7 \%$

REGIONAL TRANSPORT PARTNERSHIP AREA

| $45.4 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $38.2 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ |  |

URBAN RURAL CLASSIFICATION

| $28.1 \%$ |
| :---: |
| $36.3 \%$ |


| $35.3 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $47.1 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ |

3.10 PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH NO ACCESS TO A CAR FOR PRIVATE USE SOURCE: SCOTTISH HOUSEHOLD SURVEY: LOCAL AREA ANALYSIS 2012/2013 (TABLE 4) - TRANSPort SCOtLand


REGIONAL TRANSPORT PARTNERSHIP AREA

| $22.2 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2.7 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ |  |

URBAN RURAL CLASSIFICATION

| $40.5 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $27.6 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ |

119,928 total Emploves TO DATE

${ }_{20,303}^{46}$ EMPLOYERSOSESS

$\underset{\substack{42 \text { EMPLOYRER } \\ 13,771 \\ \text { IMMPLOYEES }}}{ }$

3.12 CYCLE FRIENDLY AND SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY FUNDING CAPS ACTION 12 SOURCE: CYCLING SCOTLAND
The purpose of the Cycle Friendy and Sustainable Communities Fund [CFSCF) is to support grouns to encouroge cucling in their community and to

1,065
ENQUIRIES ABOUT HUB EVENTS


BIKE HRE ENOURES

## Stirling <br> Bike Hub <br> All statistics are taken since the hub opened in May 2013 and are correct as of 15th December 2014



| 4.1 | METHOD OF TRAVEL TO WORK <br> SOURCE: SCOTLAND'S CENSUS 2011 - NATIONAL RECORDS OF SCOTLAND All people aged 16 to 74 in employment the week before the census who work mainly at or from home. ${ }^{1}$ Includes taxi or minicab. mot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bicycle | Work mainly at or from home | Train or underground, metro, light rail or tram | Bus, minibus or coach | Driving a car or van | Passenger in a car or van | On foot | All other methods of travel to work ${ }^{1}$ |
| TOTAL |  | 1.4\% | 10.8\% | 4.0\% | 10.0\% | 56.0\% | 5.8\% | 9.9\% | 2.1\% |
|  | 16 to 24 | 0.9\% | 8.2\% | 5.0\% | 17.1\% | 39.9\% | 12.1\% | 14.8\% | 1.9\% |
|  | 25 to 34 | 1.7\% | 7.9\% | 5.7\% | 12.0\% | 52.8\% | 6.2\% | 11.7\% | 1.9\% |
|  | 35 to 49 | 1.7\% | 10.4\% | 4.0\% | 8.0\% | 60.9\% | 4.4\% | 8.5\% | 2.1\% |
|  | 50 to 64 | 1.0\% | 13.0\% | 2.6\% | 8.8\% | 58.2\% | 5.2\% | 8.9\% | 2.1\% |
|  | 65 to 74 | 0.6\% | 26.9\% | 1.4\% | 8.7\% | 47.5\% | 4.4\% | 8.6\% | 1.8\% |
| MALES AGED 16 TO 74 IN EMPLOYMENT |  | 2.1\% | 11.0\% | 4.2\% | 7.7\% | 58.7\% | 5.5\% | 7.8\% | 3.1\% |
| FEMALES AGED 16 TO 74 IN EMPLOYMENT |  | 0.6\% | 10.6\% | 3.8\% | 12.6\% | 53.0\% | 6.1\% | 12.2\% | 0.9\% |


| 4.2 | DISTANCE TO PLACE OF WORK <br> SOURCE: SCOTLAND'S CENSUS 2011 - NATIONAL RECORDS OF SCOTLAND <br> All people aged 16 to 74 in employment the week before the census [excluding full-time students] <br> The distance travelled is a calculation of the straight line between the postcode of place of residence and postcode of workplace. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Includes no fixed place of work. working on an offshore installation and working outside the UK. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Work mainly at or from home | Less than 2km | 2 km to less than 5km | 5km to less than 10km | 10 km to less than 20km | 20km to less than 30km | 30km to less than 40km | 40km to less than 60km | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \mathrm{~km} \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | Other ${ }^{2}$ |
| TOTAL |  | 10.8\% | 13.1\% | 19.2\% | 17.1\% | 15.1\% | 6.5\% | 2.9\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 10.9\% |
|  | 16 to 24 | 8.2\% | 16.2\% | 22.0\% | 17.7\% | 13.9\% | 5.4\% | 2.3\% | 1.7\% | 2.4\% | 10.3\% |
|  | 25 to 34 | 7.9\% | 13.0\% | 21.3\% | 17.6\% | 15.5\% | 6.7\% | 3.1\% | 2.4\% | 2.0\% | 10.5\% |
|  | 35 to 49 | 10.4\% | 12.1\% | 18.4\% | 17.3\% | 16.0\% | 7.0\% | 3.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | 11.1\% |
|  | 50 to 64 | 13.0\% | 13.5\% | 18.2\% | 16.7\% | 14.7\% | 6.1\% | 2.7\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 11.2\% |
|  | 65 to 74 | 26.9\% | 13.3\% | 15.1\% | 12.9\% | 10.5\% | 4.4\% | 1.9\% | 1.4\% | 1.5\% | 12.1\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAL } \\ & 16 \mathrm{TC} \\ & \text { EMPL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LES AGED } \\ & \text { TO } 74 \text { IN } \end{aligned}$ | 11.0\% | 10.0\% | 16.6\% | 15.7\% | 14.8\% | 6.8\% | 3.4\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 16.3\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEM } \\ & 16 \text { TC } \\ & \text { EMP } \end{aligned}$ | ALES AGED TO 74 IN POYMENT | 10.6\% | 16.5\% | 22.2\% | 18.7\% | 15.5\% | 6.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.7\% | 1.2\% | 5.2\% |

dISTANCES TO WORK LESS THAN $5 \mathrm{~km} 32.35 \%$
4.3 METHOD OF TRAVEL TO STUDY

SOURCE: SCOTLAND'S CENSUS 2011- NATIONAL RECORDS OF SCOTLAND
This figure shows the percentage of those in each age group who travel to study by bicycle. All people aged 4 and over studying the week before the census
thocludes full-time students whether or not working. and other students who are not working. Excludes some 4 and 5 year olds [atotal of 11867 in Scotland) Includes ful-time students whether or not working, ond other students who are not workng. Exaludes some 4 and 5 year olds ca total of 11.867 in S
who were reported os being in full-time education but for whom no information on their place of study or method of trovel to study was provided

4.4 METHOD OF TRAVEL TO STUDY BY LOCAL AUTHORITY

All people aged 4 and over studuing the week before the census. This groph indicates the percentage of people who travel to study by bicycle.

4.5 DISTANCE TO PLACE OF STUDY

SOURCE: SCOTLAND'S CENSUS 2011 - NATIONAL RECORDS OF SCOTLAND
All people aged 4 and over studying the week before the census. The distance trovelled is a calculation of the stright line between the postcode of
residence and the postcode of place of study. Includes full-time students whether or not working. and other students who ore not working. Excludes some 4 residence and the postcode of place of study. Includes full-time students whether or not working, and other students who are not working. Excludes some
and 5 year olds [at total of 11.867 in Scotland] who were reported os being in full-time education but for whom no information on their place of stuady or method of trovel to study was provided. Includes no fixed ploce of study and studuing outside the UK.
Less than 2KM 2KM to Less than 5 KM
OVERALL PERCENTAGE OF JOURNEYS TO SCHOOL/STUDY LESS THAN 5KM: 63.32\%


All people aged 4 and over studying Total under 5 km


Total under
$65.8 \%$



Age 18 and over

4.6 WORK JOURNEYS - PROPORTION OF JOURNEYS LESS THAN 5 km All people aged 16 to 74 in employment the week before the census [excluding full-time students). SOURCE: SCOTLAND'S CENSUS 2011 - NATIONAL RECORDS OF SCOTLAND

4.7 STUDY JOURNEYS - PROPORTION OF JOURNEYS LESS THAN 5 km All people aged 4 and over studying the week before the census.
source: scotiand's census 2oil - National records of scotland

4.8 METHOD OF TRAVEL TO WORK - TOP 20 CYCLING WARDS

SCOTLAND'S CENSUS 2011 - National records of SCOtLAND (WITH AdDITIONAL CONTRIBUTIONS From matt davis, sUstrans Scotland.) This chart indicates the 20 wards in Scotland with the highest levels of cycling to work. All people aged 16 to 74 in emplogment the week befol the census [excluding full-time students]. Numbers do not include those who mostly work mainly at of from home CITY OF EDINBURGH

MEADOWS / MORNINGSIDE 9.9\%


FOUNTAINBRIDGE / CRAIGLOCKHART 6.9\%

| HIGHLAND |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INVERNESS NESS-SIDE | $6.2 \%$ |
| INVERNESS CENTRAL | $5.9 \%$ |
| MORAY |  |
| FORRES | $5.8 \%$ |
| HIGHLAND |  |

INVERNESS MILLBURN $5.4 \%$
INVERNESS WEST
5.2\%

CITY OF EDINBURGH
LEITH 4.8\%
FIFE
TAY BRIDGEHEAD $4.6 \%$
CITY OF EDINBURGH
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This project is printed on FSC credited paper stock The Forest Stewardship Council [FSC] is a non-profit internationa organisation promoting responsible forest management


[^0]:    2.7 KSIs AND SLIGHT CASUALTIES

    Source: reported road casualties scotland 2013 (table 23) - transport scotland
    The graphs below show pedal cycle casualties. including killed and seriously injured. in Scotland. The KSI per million vehicle kilometre figure helps identify whether there are more KSIs due to more acciidents involving cycles or whether there is on increase in the amount of cycling that could be contributing towards any increase
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    slight casualities
    1200

